FISHMAN RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY AND ANALYSIS

COVID-19 Relief: Public-Records Search

Subjects: Management Company Y and Sample Residential Limited Partnership (Client A)

Public sources only, including federal loan-level releases, federal spending data, and a state small-business grant roster.

SAMPLE PORTFOLIO DELIVERABLE. Names, places, amounts, dates, and other identifying details have been changed or generalized. This is not a document from a real client matter. It is not legal advice.

Bottom line

• Yes, for the management company. Management Company Y received two federal Paycheck Protection Program loans, both in the mid-six-figure range, that were later fully forgiven. Both appear in the public loan-level file under the company's exact name and office address.

• Also yes, for an affiliated operating entity at a different property, tied to the same principal office and agent in secretary-of-state records. That affiliate received two additional forgiven loans, together in the low seven figures.

• No match for the property-level partnership. No loan, advance, state small-business grant, or federal spending record was found for the partnership, its general partner, the property's street address as a borrower, or the general partner as an individual. This is an absence in the searched public data, not proof that no relief was received.

What was searched

Source	Method	Result
Federal payroll-protection loan-level files (public FOIA release, about 11.5 million rows; file hashes checked against the published manifest)	Name, address, and related-term search, then a hand review of hits	Matches for the manager and an affiliate, as summarized above; same-name borrowers elsewhere in the country were reviewed and excluded
Federal disaster-loan and advance files for the period then published	Same terms	No record for the subject entities
Federal spending data (all award groups)	Recipient-name and keyword searches	No COVID-era award to the subjects. Unrelated same-name awards at other addresses were not relied on
State small-business COVID relief grant roster (hundreds of thousands of awards)	Corporate name, DBA, and owner-name columns	No record
State workplace COVID datasets and city or state landlord-relief rosters	Name search and a check for a public recipient list	No employer-level record that could be checked; no public recipient roster located that named the subjects
Secretary-of-state entity data and professional-license lookups	Entity names, addresses, and agents	Used to confirm the affiliate tie and to list entities that were searched by name

Limits

• A property-level partnership that borrowed under another name, or through a lender-reported record with a different name or address, would not surface by these terms.

• Disaster-loan files are public only in part and only through a stated date. In one payroll-protection application, a portion of proceeds was reported as refinancing an earlier disaster loan, yet no disaster-loan record under the subject names appeared in the public data. That is an unexplained indicator only.

• Tax credits and deferrals, including employee-retention credits, are claimed on tax filings and are not published. Their absence here says nothing about whether they were claimed.

- Some state and local programs publish only aggregate dashboards. Official secretary-of-state details in this pass came from public mirrors, not certified records.

Suggested next steps, in a records request

Ask for applications, loan agreements, forgiveness filings, and lender correspondence for any COVID-era relief received by the manager, affiliates, or the property-level partnership, and for a statement of any tax credit claimed. If documents beyond the public data are needed, a federal records request and certified entity filings can be considered, with counsel.

Exact loan numbers, dollar amounts, job counts, street addresses, and entity identification numbers have been omitted or generalized because they would identify the organizations involved. The finding types — matches for the manager and an affiliate, and no match for the property-level partnership — are preserved.